



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/711,775

10/04/2004

Shyam K. Gupta

5774

34820

7590

11/29/2007

SHYAM K. GUPTA
BIODERM RESEARCH
5221 E. WINDROSE DRIVE
SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85254

EXAMINER

WESTERBERG, NISSA M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

4173

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/29/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/711,775	Applicant(s) GUPTA, SHYAM K.	
	Examiner Nissa M. Westerberg	Art Unit 4173	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nissa M. Westerberg. (3)_____.

(2) Shyam Gupta. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 15 November 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant called to say that amendments had been submitted in this case and with a question regarding the provisional double patenting rejection between this application and co-pending Application 10/908,816. The rejection can be overcome by amendment of the co-pending application so overlapping subject matter is not present in the two applications. The rejection will not be withdrawn until those amendments have been received by the Office.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Nissa M. Westerberg/

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required